

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/768,133	01/23/2001	Ritsuko Nagao	SEL 236 3327		
75	90 03/31/2005	EXAMINER			
COOK, ALEX, MCFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD. Suite 2850 200 West Adams St. Chicago, IL 60606			PHAM, THANH V		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2823		
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/768,13	3	NAGAO ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Thanh V. F		2823				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status					•			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 J	lanuary 2005	<u>5</u> .					
2a)⊠	☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4) Claim(s) 1-10,12,14,16,18,20,22,24,26,28 and 30-183 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10,12,14,16,18,20,22,24,26,28 and 30-183 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Papers		•					
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 August 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	it(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
3) 🛛 Infon	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date 10/25/04.	3)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Drawings

1. The drawings *filed 08/12/02* are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "706" *in figs 7E and 7F* has been used to designate both *the gate insulating film* and *the gate wiring. It is suggested that "706' in fig. 7F is changed to –707--.* Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: "deposited the" on line 7 should be –deposited on the--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/768,133

Art Unit: 2823

4. Claims 1-10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30-183 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art in combination with Chen U.S. Patent No. 5,453,406.

The applicant's admitted prior art for the TFT formation to form a display device having pixel electrodes and an insulative layer over the pixel electrodes is similar to the instant invention, having use of an organic material where a low dielectric property is considered (the instant specification, pages 1-2 and 7).

An active matrix liquid crystal display device is widely used for OA equipment, television sets and the like.

The substrate is spun so that the varnish is uniformly applied thereto. The substrate on which the varnish is applied is baked in an oven or on a hot plate to obtain an insulating film.

The thickness of the insulating film is controlled by the number of spinnings, the period of spinning time, the concentration and the viscosity of the varnish. A material used for spin-coating can be selected from a polyimide resin, an acrylic resin, a resin containing a siloxane structure, an inorganic SOG (Spin on Glass) material and the like, in consideration of physical properties such as a transparence, a heat resistance, a chemical resistance, and a thermal expansion coefficient. In the case where a low dielectric property is considered as an important factor, an organic material is often used.

FIG. 2 shows a cross section of a conventional active matrix substrate. On a glass substrate 100, level differences generated by an active layer (including a channel region 101, a source region 102, and a drain region 103), a gate wiring 105, a source wiring 107, a drain wiring 108 and the like are present. A leveling resin, representatively an acrylic resin, is used to as a first leveling film 109 so as to level these level differences. Finally, a pixel electrode 111 is formed on the first leveling film 109 to complete the active matrix substrate.

Next, as shown in FIG. 3, the active matrix substrate is bonded to a counter substrate 120 so as to interpose liquid crystal 123 therebetween to form a liquid crystal display device. According to this conventional method of forming a leveling film, however, it is apprehended that the pixel electrode 111 might be broken because of insufficient flatness of the leveling film. Moreover, since the unevenness due to the level

Application/Control Number: 09/768,133

Art Unit: 2823

differences remains on the surface of the pixel electrode 111, poor orientation of the liquid crystal 123 is caused on the uneven region of the surface.

The applicant's admitted prior art lacks the second leveling layer over the first leveling layer.

The Chen reference discloses a method for producing a planar surface (col. 2, lines 64-67) wherein the thickness of a first leveling film 40 (2,000-3,000 Angstroms, col. 6, lines 1-10) formed above a wiring 34 is thinner than that of a second leveling film 42 (4,000-6,000 Angstroms, col. 6, line 53-54) formed on the first leveling film. Both first and second leveling films are formed by spin coating and by the same material (col. 6, line 30). The method could be used to coat a display device.

In Chen's fig. 7, a second spin-on-glass layer 42 is formed over the first spin-on-glass layer 40 essentially planarizing the dielectric layer and completing the process. This second spin-on-glass layer 42 is formed by also using the liquid precursor of the siloxane type similar in composition to the material used for the first spin-on-glass layer 40, but in this second coating the spin-on-glass is dispensed at a significantly higher spin speed and at a constant speed. The same series of spin-on-glass is used for both layers.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the applicant's admitted prior art with the second leveling layer as taught by Chen because the second leveling layer of Chen would provide the prior art structure with planarity over the formed TFT to prevent the pixel electrode from rough topography and improve the optical resolution (Chen's col. 1, lines 18 and 29).

Art Unit: 2823

Choice of thickness of the leveling layers would depend on many other factors such as the gap between the protruded elements or the height of the protruded element and would be obtained by routine experimentation, MPEP 2144.05. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the appropriate thickness such as the thickness in the ranges as claimed into the process as the thickness would be selected in accordance with the surface planarity formation as taught by Chen.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 01/31/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- Applicant argues that Chen is directed to ULSI and that contrasts to applicant's admitted prior art and this instant invention which are fabrication of a display device. The examiner does not agree because thin film transistor is a branch of VLSI and the instant invention and applicant's admitted prior art are the TFT formation to form a display device. Therefore, the rejection is proper.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh V. Pham whose telephone number is 571-272-1866. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T (6:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 571-272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TvP 03/23/2005

George/Fourson
Primary Examiner